

## **REMARKS**

The Final Office Action mailed February 15, 2011 has been received and its contents carefully noted. Applicant proposes to amend claims 13, 15 and 26 and cancel claim 25 in an effort to place the application in condition for allowance. Reconsideration of the rejections of the claims is respectfully requested in view of the foregoing amendments and the following remarks.

### **Drawings**

The Examiner objected to the drawings as not showing every feature of the invention as specified in the claims. Claim 26 has been amended to eliminate the questioned language. It is respectfully submitted the drawings show the new language found in claim 26. Withdrawal of the drawing objection is respectfully requested.

### **Allowable Subject Matter**

Applicant appreciates the indication that claims 15-18, 25 and 26 contain allowable subject matter. In this amendment, the limitations of allowable claim 25 have been added to independent claim 13 and claim 25 has been cancelled. To clarify claim 15, applicant has deleted any language which is essentially repetitive from the newly amended independent claim 13. As all the dependent claims depend from newly amended independent claim 13, claims 13-24 and 26 are believed to be in condition for allowance.

**Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. §§ 102 and 103**

With regard to the rejections under 35 U.S.C. §§ 102 and 103, claims 13, 14, 21 and 24 continue to be rejected as being completely shown by JP 2003-62758. Claims 19 and 20 have been rejected as being obvious in view of JP 2003-62758. Claims 22 and 23 have been rejected as being obvious when JP 2003-62758 is combined with Economus (U.S. Patent No. 2,535,398). As all the claims have been amended to include allowable subject matter, it is believed these rejections are now moot and should be withdrawn.

**Conclusion**

In view of the foregoing amendments and remarks, withdrawal of the final rejection, entry of the amendments and allowance of the application are believed to be in order, and such action is earnestly solicited. The Examiner is invited to call applicant's attorney if any questions remain following review of this response.

Dated: 5/16/2011

Respectfully submitted,

By 

K. Bradford Adolphson  
Attorney for Applicant  
Registration No. 30,927

WARE, FRESSOLA, VAN DER SLUYS  
& ADOLPHSON LLP  
Bradford Green, Building Five  
755 Main Street, P.O. Box 224  
Monroe, Connecticut 06468  
Telephone: (203) 261-1234  
Facsimile: (203) 261-5676